

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/661,145	09/12/2003	Jeffrey George	60518-162	7734
27305 HOWARD & F	7590 01/17/200 HOWARD ATTORNE		EXAM	IINER
THE PINEHU	RST OFFICE CENTER		PANDYA, SUNIT	
39400 WOODWARD AVENUE BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48304-5151		51	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	·		3714	
. •		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/17/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	_
N	
17	

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)
-	10/661,145	GEORGE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sunit Pandya	3714

	Sunit Pandya	3714					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 12/22/2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) ☑ The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) ☐ The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In 							
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of e appeal. Since				
AMENDMENTS	out out on a standard of Elimon a boing						
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, leading to the proposed amendment (a) They raise new issues that would require further contained to the proposed amendment (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying t	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	od Ossanland Nation of Nan Os		(DTOL 224)				
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).	lowable if submitted in a separate,						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-62.	⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ wi vided below or appended.	Il be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence is	necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a l).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.				
11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowar	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:							
·							

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: All of the claims were responded to in the previous office action, dated 8/1/2006. The amendments to the claims have been noted however the issues raised in the independent claims 1 and 32, by the new proposed amendments clearly would require a new search and therefore could not be considered.

ROBERT OLSZEWSKI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700